

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
 CONFIRMATION NO.

 10/624,473
 07/23/2003
 Ralph R. Mehr
 1062/5
 7421

 7590
 01/23/2006
 EXAMINER

 DR. MARK FRIEDMAN LTD.
 NGUYEN, DINH Q

DR. MARK FRIEDMAN LTD. C/o Bill Polkinghorn Discovery Dispatch 9003 Florin Way Upper Marlboro, MD 20772

3752
DATE MAILED: 01/23/2006

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		G _P	
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/624,473	MEHR, RALPH R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dinh Q. Nguyen	3752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a			
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a not will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO nute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) The 2b The 2b	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal mat	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-5 and 25-35 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-5 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 25-35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)⊠ a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		···	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received. ents have been received in A iority documents have beer	application No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)	. —		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/624,473

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Drawings

1. The replacement drawings were received on 11/10/05. These drawings are accepted by the Examiner to overcome the drawing objection of the previous Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 25-31, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Livingston.

Livingston discloses an automatic fire sprinkler device comprising: an automatic fire sprinkler 44 for fluidly communicate with a water flow path 52, an orifice formed by seal ring 36 that is associated with the automatic fire sprinkler 44, the orifice being responsive to a water inlet pressure (see column 3, lines 39-45) to provide a plurality of different open cross sections, as the water inlet pressure increased, the pressure pushing on the diaphragm 34 and moving the diaphragm away from the seal ring, thus creating a plurality of different open cross sections and reaching the maximum opening at the dotted position in figure 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a

Application/Control Number: 10/624,473

Art Unit: 3752

person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

5. Claims 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Livingston in view of Kraft.

Livingston discloses an automatic fire sprinkler device comprising: an automatic fire sprinkler 44 for fluidly communicate with a water flow path 52, an orifice formed by seal ring 36 that is associated with the automatic fire sprinkler 44, the orifice being responsive to a water inlet pressure (see column 3, lines 39-45) to provide a plurality of different open cross sections, as the water inlet pressure increased, the pressure pushing on the diaphragm 34 and moving the diaphragm away from the seal ring, thus creating a plurality of different open cross sections and reaching the maximum opening at the dotted position in figure 1.

Livingston does not teach a plurality of leaves of the flow-impeding element. However, Kraft discloses a flow-impeding element 11 with a plurality of leaves 13 (see figures 3 and 5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the device of Livingston with a plurality of leaves flow-impeding element as suggested by Kraft. Doing so would provide a way to control flowing of fluid (see Kraft's column 1, lines 3-9).

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 11/10/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 25-35 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3752

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinh Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dinh Q Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752

dqn